boat conformation is unfavourable for the trans isomer because one substituent would be equatorial, and only an approximately planar conformation is available, even though this involves angle strain in the $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ ring. In fact, the conformation is slightly towards the chair form, presumably to reduce the peri interactions still further, although the ring nitrogen atoms are then slightly pyramidal. However, the angle strain at these nitrogen atoms is probably small, because the constraining of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}$ angle to $107-108^{\circ}$ should allow the $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}$ angle to open to the observed $124^{\circ}$ fairly easily.

That the trans rather than the cis isomer is formed in the reaction is also to be expected, because the cis isomer in the boat conformation with both substituents pseudo-equatorial would have severe peri interactions, while if they were pseudo-axial there would be very large transannular interactions, and these would still be present, although less marked, if the molecule were planar.

The same $B_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ ring system has been examined (Thomas \& Paul, 1968) in $\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NB}_{2}\right)_{4}$ and found to have a boat conformation. However this is not directly
comparable with the present structure as the ring is saturated, and the main controlling factor for its geometry is the overall cage structure of the molecule.

Bond lengths in the terminal pyrazole do not depart significantly from those in free pyrazole (Krebs Larsen, Lehmann, Søtofte \& Rasmussen, 1970), while those in the ring pyrazole are similar but with equal $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ distances.
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#### Abstract

Monoclinic, $C 2 / c, a=13.879$ (2), $b=6.693$ (1), $c=10.519$ (1) $\AA, \beta=120.22(1)^{\circ}, Z=8, D_{x}=5.385 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$. The crystals were grown from a melt containing excess $\mathrm{CdF}_{2} . \mathrm{Cd}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{F}$ is isostructural with $\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{F}$.

Introduction. Cadmium fluorophosphate was first reported by Engel (1970) to crystallize in the space group $C 2 / c$, who pointed out its structural relationship to the minerals of the wagnerite group $\left[\mathrm{M}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{X}\right]$. In a detailed investigation (Rea \& Kostiner, 1972) of $\mathrm{Mn}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{F}$, a synthetic end member of the series represented by the mineral triplite $\left[(\mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{Fe})_{2}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{F}\right]$, we discussed a possible explanation for the disorder found at the fluorine position in the mineral in terms of cation size. The fluorine atom in synthetic $\mathrm{Mn}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{F}$ is not disordered. To help resolve this question, we have


[^0]carried out a refinement of the crystal structure of $\mathrm{Cd}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{F}$.

Crystals were grown by cooling a melt of $\mathrm{Cd}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ and excess $\mathrm{CdF}_{2}$ from $1075^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{-1}$. A sphere was ground to a radius of 0.0055 cm ; precession photographs confirmed the space group as $C 2 / c$. The lattice parameters were determined in a PICK II least-squares refinement program using 48 reflections, each automatically centered on a Picker FACS-I four-circle diffractometer using Mo $K \alpha_{1}$ radiation.
Diffraction intensities were measured using Zr filtered Mo $K \alpha$ radiation at a take-off angle of $2 \cdot 5^{\circ}$ with the diffractometer operating in the $\theta-2 \theta$ scan mode. Scans were made at $1^{\circ}$ per min over $1 \cdot 5^{\circ}$ with allowance for dispersion and with 40 s background counts taken at both ends of the scan. Of the 1411 independent data investigated in the angular range $2 \theta<62^{\circ}, 1344$ were considered observable according to the criterion $\left|F_{o}\right|>0 \cdot 8 \sigma_{F}$, where $\sigma_{F}$ is defined as $0 \cdot 02\left|F_{o}\right|+\left[C+k^{2} B\right]^{1 / 2} / 2\left|F_{o}\right| \mathrm{Lp}$; the total scan count is
$C, k$ is the ratio of scanning time to the total background time and $B$ is the total background count. Three reflections were systematically monitored and no random variations in intensity greater than $3 \%$ were observed over the data collection period; the mean variation was very much smaller.

Intensity data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects, and absorption corrections (International Tables for $X$-ray Crystallography, 1967) were applied for a spherical crystal with $\mu R=0 \cdot 57$. The maximum absorption correction applied was $8.0 \%$ of $\left|F_{o}\right|$.

Two cycles of full-matrix least-squares refinement (Busing, Martin \& Levy, 1962) using the positional parameters for $\mathrm{Mn}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{F}$ as a trial structure, a $1 / \sigma^{2}$ weighting scheme, zero-valent scattering factors for $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{F}$ and O (Cromer \& Mann, 1968), isotropic temperature factors, and corrections for secondary extinction and anomalous dispersion, yielded a residual $R=0.047$ and a weighted residual $R_{w}=0.076$. The anisotropic refinement, based on a data:parameter ratio of 18 to 1 with 74 independently varied parameters yielded a final $R=0.029$ and $R_{w}=0.044$ for the observed data.* In the final refinement, the maximum extinction correction (Zachariasen, 1968) was $34 \%$ of $\left|F_{o}\right|$ for the 202 reflection.

Discussion. Table 1 presents the final atomic coordinates and anisotropic thermal parameters. The cadmium atoms lie at the centers of distorted octahedra. $\mathrm{Cd}(1)$ is coordinated by four oxygens at an average distance of $2.260 \AA$ and two fluorines at 2.270 and $2 \cdot 468 \AA$. $\mathrm{Cd}(2)$ is coordinated by four oxygens at an average distance of $2.244 \AA$ and two fluorines at 2.281 and $2.414 \AA$. The fluorines are cis in both cases. Table 2 lists angles and distances for the cadmium polyhedra. The metal-oxygen distances are about $0 \cdot 1$ $\AA$ greater in $\mathrm{Cd}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{F}$ as compared with $\mathrm{Mn}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{F}$ which is in line with the difference of $0.12 \AA$ in their ionic radii (Shannon \& Prewitt, 1969). In each of the octahedra, one fluorine atom is about $0.15 \AA$ more distant in the cadmium compound while the second

[^1](longer) metal-fluorine bond is increased by only 0.04 $\AA$ in the $\mathrm{Cd}(2)$ polyhedron and actually decreases by $0.07 \AA$ in the $\mathrm{Cd}(2)$ polyhedror (relative to the manganese compound).

Table 2. Bond distances, polyhedral edge lengths, and bond angles for cadmium polyhedra
Numbers in parentheses are estimated standard deviations in the last significant figure.

| $\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $2 \cdot 258(4) \AA$ | $\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $2 \cdot 222(4) \AA$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $2.326(4)$ | $\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $2 \cdot 314(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{O}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | $2.221(4)$ | $\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $2.222(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $2.236(4)$ | $\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $2.219(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{F}$ | $2.270(3)$ | $\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{F}$ | $2 \cdot 281(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ | $2.468(3)$ | $\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ | $2 \cdot 414(4)$ |


| $\mathrm{Cd}(1)$ octahedron |  | $\mathrm{Cd}(2)$ octahedron |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 3.021 (6) $\AA$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | 2.864 (8) $\AA$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{O}(4) \quad 3$ | $3 \cdot 139$ (5) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 3.201 (5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{F} \quad 2$ | $2 \cdot 828$ (5) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{F}$ | $3 \cdot 233$ (5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{F}^{\prime} \quad 3$ | $3 \cdot 217$ (5) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ | 3.725 (5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{O}\left(3^{\prime}\right) \quad 2$ | $2 \cdot 793$ (7) | $\mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $3 \cdot 773$ (6) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{O}(4) \quad 4$ | $4 \cdot 005$ (5) | $\mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $3 \cdot 195$ (6) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{F}$ | $3 \cdot 236$ (5) | $\mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{F}$ | $3 \cdot 508$ (5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{O}(4) 3$ | $3 \cdot 525$ (5) | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $3 \cdot 497$ (5) |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{F}$ | $3 \cdot 454$ (5) | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ | $2 \cdot 828$ (5) |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{F}^{\prime} \quad 3$ | 3.946 (5) | $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{F}$ | $2 \cdot 832$ (5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{F}^{\prime} \quad 2$ | $2 \cdot 832$ (5) | $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ | 3.094 (5) |
| $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{F}^{\prime} \quad 2$ | $2 \cdot 756$ (6) |  | $2 \cdot 800$ (7) |
| $\mathrm{Cd}(1)$ octahedron |  | $\mathrm{Cd}(2)$ octahedron |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 82.4 (1) ${ }^{\circ}$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | 78.3 (1) ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 88.6 (1) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 92.2 (1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{F}$ | $77 \cdot 3$ (1) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{F}$ | 91.8 (1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ | 85.7 (1) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ | $106 \cdot 9$ (1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{O}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | ) 75.8 (1) | $\mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $112 \cdot 6$ (1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $122 \cdot 8$ (1) | $\mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $89 \cdot 6$ (1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{F}$ | 89.5 (1) | $\mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{F}$ | $99 \cdot 6$ (1) |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $104 \cdot 6$ (1) | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $103 \cdot 9$ (1) |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{F}$ | $100 \cdot 5$ (1) | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ | $75 \cdot 1$ (1) |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ | 114.5 (1) | $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{F}$ | 78.0 (1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ | 73.9 (1) | $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ | $83 \cdot 7$ (1) |
| $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ | $71 \cdot 0$ (1) | $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ | $73 \cdot 2$ (1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{O}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | ) $158 \cdot 1$ (2) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $162 \cdot 8$ (1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ | $159 \cdot 1$ (1) | $\mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ | $171 \cdot 0$ (1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{F}$ | $142 \cdot 9$ (1) | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{F}$ | $147 \cdot 7$ (1) |

The phosphate tetrahedron is not as regular as that in $\mathrm{Mn}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{F}$; the average bond length is $1.537 \AA$ $(+0.011,-0.008 \AA)$ and the average angle is $109.5^{\circ}$ $\left(+1 \cdot 6,-2 \cdot 4^{\circ}\right)$. Table 3 lists the tetrahedral bond angles and distances. The standard deviations for all

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and anisotropic thermal parameters
Numbers in parentheses are estimated standard deviations in the last significant figure.

|  | $10^{4} x$ | $10^{4} y$ | $10^{4} z$ | $B_{11}$ | $B_{22}$ | $B_{33}$ | $B_{12}$ | $B_{13}$ | $B_{23}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cd (1) | $518 \cdot 8$ (3) | $2611 \cdot 8$ (5) | $9845 \cdot 8$ (4) | $0 \cdot 77$ (2) | 0.74 (2) | $0 \cdot 61$ (2) | $0 \cdot 15$ (1) | $0 \cdot 37$ (1) | 0.07 (1) |
| Cd (2) | $3416 \cdot 8$ (3) | $3997 \cdot 6$ (5) | $6531 \cdot 5$ (4) | $0 \cdot 83$ (2) | 0.57 (2) | 0.65 (2) | -0.12 (1) | $0 \cdot 40$ (1) | -0.02 (1) |
| P | 1763 (1) | 4114 (2) | 8056 (1) | $0 \cdot 52$ (4) | $0 \cdot 50$ (4) | $0 \cdot 39$ (4) | -0.05 (3) | $0 \cdot 24$ (3) | -0.03 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 3100 (4) | 798 (6) | 5881 (4) | 1.55 (14) | $0 \cdot 58$ (12) | 0.57 (12) | -0.03 (11) | $0 \cdot 35$ (12) | $0 \cdot 27$ (10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 2109 (3) | 1382 (6) | 1815 (4) | 0.77 (12) | 1.29 (14) | $0 \cdot 95$ (13) | $0 \cdot 10$ (11) | 0.59 (11) | $0 \cdot 22$ (11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 4098 (3) | 290 (6) | 3551 (4) | 0.75 (12) | 1.07 (14) | 0.44 (11) | 0.04 (10) | -0.08 (10) | $0 \cdot 29$ (10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 3680 (4) | 2735 (6) | 1517 (4) | 1.42 (14) | 0.44 (12) | $0 \cdot 97$ (13) | -0.25 (10) | $0 \cdot 85$ (12) | -0.01 (10) |
| F | 145 (3) | 859 (5) | 3920 (4) | $0 \cdot 85$ (11) | $1 \cdot 27$ (12) | 1.08 (12) | $0 \cdot 16$ (9) | $0 \cdot 72$ (10) | -0.04 (9) |

bond lengths and angles were computed by the function and crror program (ORFFE) of Busing, Martin \& Levy (1964).

Table 3. Bond distances, polyhedral edge lengths, and bond angles for the phosphate tetrahedron
Numbers in parentheses are estimated standard deviations in the last significant figure.

| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.529(4) \AA$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $2.528(6) \AA$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1.537(4)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $2.511(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $1.533(4)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $2.475(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $1.548(4)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $2.503(5)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $2.526(5)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $2.511(5)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $111.1(2)^{\circ}$ | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $109.3(2)^{\circ}$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $110.2(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $110.0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $107.1(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $109.2(2)$ |

As we predicted (Rea \& Kostiner, 1972), $\mathrm{Cd}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{F}$ is isostructural with $\mathrm{Mn}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{F}$; the fluorine atom occupies a single position as opposed to the situation in both wagnerite $\left[\mathrm{Mg}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{F}\right]$ and tripoidite $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{1.5} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.5}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{OH}\right]$, in which the fluorine atom (or hydroxyl ion) half-occupies two sites in a doubled unit cell. Furthermore, it is undoubtedly the larger cadmium ion that causes a reduction in the unusually long

## Table 4. Bond distances and angles for the fluorine environment

Numbers in parentheses are estimated standard deviations in the last significant figure.

| $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{Cd}(1)$ | $2 \cdot 468(3) \AA$ | $\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{Cd}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $109 \cdot 0(1)^{\circ}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{Cd}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $2 \cdot 270(3)$ | $\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{Cd}(2)$ | $95 \cdot 4(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{Cd}(2)$ | $2 \cdot 281(3)$ | $\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{Cd}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | $109 \cdot 9(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{Cd}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | $2 \cdot 414(4)$ | $\mathrm{Cd}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{Cd}(2)$ | $139 \cdot 1(1)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{Cd}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{Cd}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | $95 \cdot 5(1)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{Cd}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | $106 \cdot 6(1)$ |

metal-fluorine distances for one of the two fluorines in each of the octahedra in $\mathrm{Mn}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{F}$. Table 4 presents the relevant bond angles and lengths about the fluorine atom.

The cadmium ion, with a six-coordinated ionic radius of $0.95 \AA$ (Shannon \& Prewitt, 1969), most probably represents the largest divalent metal cation which forms a fluorophosphate with the wagnerite structure type. Calcium ( $r=1.00 \AA$ ) does not form a fluorophosphate with the $2: 1: 1$ stoichiometry, and the compound chlorospodiosite $\left[\mathrm{Ca}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Cl}\right]$ adopts an entirely different structure (Greenblatt, Banks \& Post, 1967).

This work was supported by the University of Connecticut Research Foundation and by the National Science Foundation. Computations were carried out in the Computer Center of the University of Connecticut.
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#### Abstract

C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CN},\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right), M=\) 196.32, monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / c, a=10.609$ (1), $b=9.964$ (1), $c=9 \cdot 124$ (1) $\AA, \beta=106 \cdot 10(1)^{\circ}, V=926 \cdot 60 \AA^{3}, Z=4$, $D_{x}=1.406, D_{m}=1.403 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$. Full-matrix least-squares


refinement of 1686 reflections $[I>3 \sigma(I)]$ collected with $\theta-2 \theta$ scans on a Syntex $P 2_{1}$ diffractometer yielded a conventional $R$ of $0 \cdot 036$. Expected $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ and/or $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ interactions were not found.
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